Influence of App-Based Self-Management on Quality of Life of Women With Endometriosis

Nadine Rohloff 1, Teresa Göt
z 1, Sarah S. Kortekamp 1, Nicole R. Heinz
e 1, Charlotte Weber 1, Sebastian D. Schäfe
r 2

1. Science, Endo Health GmbH, 09111 Chemnitz, DEU 2. Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Clemenshospital, 48153 Münster, DEU

Corresponding author: Sebastian D. Schäfer, seb.schaefer@alexianer.de

Abstract

Introduction: Endometriosis can significantly impair the quality of life of those affected. Multimodal selfhelp measures are recommended but often difficult to access. Smartphone apps have been shown to improve quality of life for other conditions with chronic pain. The aim of this study was to examine the impact of the Endo-App (Endo Health GmbH, Germany) on both disease-related quality of life and symptoms of endometriosis affecting it.

Methods: In the present randomised, controlled pilot study, the impact of utilizing the Endo-App on the quality of life among a sample of 122 women affected by endometriosis is assessed. To measure the changes over a 12-week period, the study incorporates the validated Endometriosis Health Profile (EHP-5 and EHP-30) questionnaire from Oxford University, among other assessment tools.

Results: The use of the Endo-App leads to significant changes in the following areas after 12 weeks compared to the control group: pain disability, pain self-efficacy, fatigue, depressive symptoms, and Endometriosis Health Profile. The EHP-5 score from T0 to T12 is reduced by -16.76 (p-value of Mann Whitney U test (p_U) = 0.008), the EHP-30 score by -15.48 (p_U = 0.004). The results remain significant in sensitivity analyses. The effect size of Cohen's d was in the medium range.

Conclusion: In summary, the Endo-App improves both physical and psychological symptoms and the patient's self-efficacy. The Endo-App contributes to improving endometriosis care in Germany and enables women suffering from endometriosis to significantly increase their quality of life.

Categories: Obstetrics/Gynecology, Pain Management, Healthcare Technology Keywords: digital therapeutics, self-management counseling, quality of life (qol), health app, endometriosis and chronic pelvic pain

Introduction

Approximately one in 10 women of childbearing age in Germany, around 1.7 million individuals, suffer from endometriosis [1].

This chronic disease can significantly impact the quality of life for those affected. Common symptoms include severe menstrual pain and non-cyclic lower abdominal pain, along with other symptoms and functional complaints depending on the location of endometriosis lesions. It often takes several years until a diagnosis is made. In addition, the cause of the disease is still unclear and there are high recurrence rates with current therapies [1]. Prolonged symptoms can lead to complex neurological changes that contribute to the development of chronic pain [2].

In a consensus paper on the treatment of chronic pain syndromes, experts from the German Pain Society recommend that patients with chronic pain, regardless of its origin, be educated on self-help measures [3]. Exercise therapy, nutritional therapy and stress-reducing concepts as well as the provision of information and the use of symptom diaries are recognized as universally effective options [4]. Despite alignment among expert recommendations, guidelines, and reviews [3], options for these interventions are limited in Germany.

Studies have demonstrated that smartphone apps can successfully integrate these therapy supplements into the daily lives of individuals with various chronic pain conditions, leading to positive effects on pain, symptoms, and overall management of the condition [5]. Digital self-management concepts are already being used to support the management of other chronic diseases [6].

To apply such a concept specifically for endometriosis, the Endo-App (Endo Health GmbH, Germany) was developed as a medical product that utilizes a multimodal, interdisciplinary approach to endometriosis



therapy. The app incorporates information provision, psychosocial support, exercise therapy, nutritional therapy, stress reduction techniques, and coping strategies to complement standard therapy, aiming to contribute to a significant improvement of the quality of life for those affected (Endo-App instructions for use: Endo Health UG. Gebrauchsanweisung Endo-App; 2021) [7].

The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of the Endo-App on disease-related quality of life and symptoms of endometriosis affecting it, in comparison with a control group (waitlist design).

This article was previously presented as a poster at the 15th World Congress on Endometriosis, from May 3 to 6, 2023.

Materials And Methods

The appropriate ethical approval by the Ethics Committee of the State Chamber of Physicians of Saxony, Germany has been received (EK-BR-113/21-1) and appropriate processes have been followed. The study was completed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki as revised in 2013.

Study design

To investigate the impact of the Endo-App on disease-related quality of life and quality-of-life-affecting symptoms of endometriosis, a two-arm randomised controlled trial with waitlist design was conducted. The participants were randomly assigned to either the intervention group or the control group.

Measuring instruments

To investigate the effect on Health-related quality of life (HrQoL), the validated Endometriosis Health Profile (EHP) Questionnaire was used [8, 9].

To evaluate possible other effects, the participants were also asked to complete further questionnaires including validated questionnaires like Fragebogen zur Erfassung der schmerzspezifischen Selbstwirksamkeit (FESS), Pain Disability Index (PDI), Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS), Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) and questions about demographics and daily life effects (Table 1).

Name of the instrument/scale	Content
Endometriosis Health Profile (EHP) - 30 Items (EHP-30), EHP - 5 Items (EHP-5)	Assessment of the influence of endometriosis on the life of those affected on a scale from "Never" to "Always". Scale range 0 to 100. Validated questionnaires, German version [8]. A translation certificate from Oxford University is available for EHP-5.
Endometriosis Health Profile: EHP Work, EHP Sex, EHP Doctor	Assessment of the influence of endometriosis on working life, sexual life, and the relationship to doctors of patients on a scale from "Never" to "Always".
Fragebogen zur Erfassung der schmerzspezifischen Selbstwirksamkeit (FESS)	Assessment of self-efficacy expectations in patients with chronic pain on a scale 0-6. Developed from the Pain Self Efficacy Questionnaire (PSEQ). Validated questionnaire, German version [10].
Pain Disability Index (PDI)	Assessment of the impairment in everyday life due to pain in seven areas of life on a scale of 0-10 ("None" to "Total impairment"). Validated questionnaire. German version [11].
Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS)	Assessment of chronic fatigue on a scale of 1-7 ("Strongly disagree" to "Strongly agree"). Validated questionnaire, German version [12, 13].
Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ- 9)	Assessment of depression on a scale of 1-4 ("Not at all" to "Almost every day"). Validated questionnaire. Gern version [14].
Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7)	Assessment of generalized anxiety disorder on a scale of 1-4 ("Never" to "Almost every day") [15].
Health Education Impact Questionnaire (HeiQ)	Assessment of health-related self-management skills after education or rehabilitation. Validated questionnaire German version [16].
Incapacity to work	Assessment of periods of incapacity to work due to endometriosis.
Life satisfaction	Assessment of life satisfaction.
Symptoms of endometriosis	Assessment of the state of endometriosis and distress.
Long-term cycle	Assessment of the long-term cycle.
Distraction Score	Assessment of the extent of distraction during the online survey

TABLE 1: Instruments used to measure the effect of the Endo-App

Study population: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria for this study comprised a medical diagnosis of endometriosis (N80 - N80.9), legal capacity, age over 18 years, informed consent, female sex, residence in Germany, and sufficient knowledge of the German language.

Exclusion criteria included current pregnancy or undergoing medical treatment for infertility, surgery within the past two months and plans for a new therapy or change of therapy (including surgery or hormonal changes) during the study period. All inclusion and exclusion criteria were assessed through self-reporting in the questionnaire.

Study procedure

After completing the baseline questionnaire, simple, unrestricted randomisation was carried out directly in the online tool SoSci Survey.

After inclusion and randomisation, the 12-week study period followed. The intervention consisted of 12 weeks of active use of the Endo-App as an add-on to the ongoing treatment of standard care (care-as-usual). The control group received care-as-usual exclusively.

The participants received the link to the follow-up surveys with the questionnaires after four, eight and 12 weeks.

Statistical methods

Statistical analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM Corp. Released 2021. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 28.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Exploratory evaluations of change scores in the questionnaires were performed. The data was analysed pseudonymously.



Changes within the groups from baseline (T0) to four weeks (T4), eight weeks (T8), and 12 weeks (T12) were assessed using Wilcoxon tests. To compare change scores between the groups, the Mann-Whitney U test was utilized. Non-parametric tests were chosen due to the potential non-normal distribution of quality-of-life questionnaires like the EHP, ensuring robustness in the analysis [9].

For the primary analysis of all endpoints, a complete case analysis was performed, including all participants who completed the online survey at both T0 and T4/8/12. A sensitivity analyses with Baseline-Observation-Carried-Forward (BOCF) imputed datasets corresponding to an intention-to-treat analysis (ITT) was conducted: The evaluation included all participants who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria, completed the online survey at T0, and were randomised. Missing values were replaced with the imputation procedure BOCF. This conservative evaluation was performed for all sum values of the validated questionnaires (EHP-5, EHP-30, FESS, PDI, PHQ-9, FSS and GAD-7).

Results

Demographics

The study population consisted of 122 randomised women who met all inclusion and no exclusion criteria and answered the first questionnaire completely. 85 persons did not meet inclusion criteria of the study or met exclusion criteria, e.g. reported being male or no residence in Germany. Of the remaining 152 women, 30 did not complete the first questionnaire.

Respondents were aged between 20 and 52 years, with a mean of 29.6 years. There were no significant differences between the intervention group and the control group in terms of age (p-value of Mann-Whitney U test (p_U) = 0.945), employment status (p-value of Chi-Square test (p_{Chi}) = 0.351), reported symptoms (each p-value of Fisher's exact test (p_{exF}) > 0.1), days with pain medication (p_U =0.572), hysterectomy status (1 in each group, p_{exF} = 1), cycle timing (p_U =0.358), hormonal treatment status (each p_{exF} >0.1) or their periods of incapacity to work (p_U = 0.966). There were also no significant differences regarding the questionnaire results recorded at baseline (each p_U > 0.1).

The study recorded how the participants received their endometriosis diagnosis. Multiple answers were possible. The most common diagnostic method was by means of surgery, which was indicated by 92% in the intervention group and 88.9% in the control group. Every participant was diagnosed by a physician. There were no significant differences in the percentage of endometriosis diagnostic procedures between the intervention and control group ($p_{exF} > 0.7$ in each case).

Overview of change scores and effect sizes

Table 2 shows an overview of the change scores of the recorded questionnaires.

Cureus

	Endo-App		Control				
Parameter	T12-T0		T12-T0			P(u) ^a	
	Number	Mean (standard deviation)	Number	Mean (standard deviation)	Mean (standard error of difference)		
EHP-5 ^b	34	-16.76 (17.66)	64	-6.72 (15.67)	10.04 (3.48)	0.008	
EHP-30 ^c	34	-15.48 (15.19)	63	-6.32 (12.95)	9.15 (2.93)	0.004	
PDI ^d	32	-8.09 (9.91)	57	-1.82 (11.00)	6.27 (2.35)	0.007	
FESS ^e	33	5.73 (7.66)	62	1.95 (8.25)	3.78 (1.74)	0.029	
FSS ^f	34	-3.88 (9.11)	61	1.69 (6.39)	5.57 (1.60)	0.003	
PHQ-9 ^g	34	-3.03 (3.74)	62	0.21 (3.75)	3.24 (0.80)	< 0.001	
GAD-7 ^h	34	-2.26 (4.05)	61	-0.74 (3.49)	1.53 (0.79)	0.104	

TABLE 2: Overview of change scores of the questionnaires

- ^a P(u) = P-value Mann-Whitney U
- ^b EHP-5 = Endometriosis Health Profile 5 items
- ^c EHP-30 = Endometriosis Health Profile 30 items
- ^d PDI = Pain Disability Index
- ^e FESS = Fragebogen zur Erfassung der schmerzspezifischen Selbstwirksamkeit
- ^f FSS = Fatigue Severity Scale
- ^g PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire
- ^h GAD-7 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale

Table 3 shows the effect sizes of the change scores. The Cohen's d effect sizes of the differences in the changes of both groups were in the medium range [17].

Parameter	Change within Endo-App			Change within control			Comparison change Endo-App vs. control		
Farameter	T0-T4	T0-T8	T0-T12	T0-T4	T0-T8	T0-T12	T0-T4	Т0-Т8	T0-T12
EHP-5 ^a	0.91	0.84	0.92	0.38	0.36	0.42	0.54	0.56	0.61
EHP-5 (Baseline carried forward, BCF ^b)	0.79	0.75	0.84	0.37	0.37	0.39	0.47	0.46	0.52
EHP-30 ^c	0.86	0.83	0.89	0.39	0.38	0.41	0.70	0.58	0.67
EHP-30 (BCF)	0.71	0.67	0.75	0.37	0.36	0.34	0.58	0.47	0.59
PDI ^d sum value	0.51	0.53	0.56	0.14	0.17	0.15	0.52	0.50	0.59
PDI sum value (BCF)	0.42	0.47	0.47	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.47	0.50	0.56
FESS ^e sum value	0.43	0.49	0.52	0.08	0.05	0.18	0.53	0.64	0.47
FESS sum value (BCF)	0.35	0.44	0.47	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.45	0.61	0.55
FSS ^f Total value	0.38	0.44	0.38	0.06	0.07	0.16	0.80	0.81	0.75
FSS sum value (BCF)	0.32	0.37	0.36	0.06	0.16	0.22	0.70	0.73	0.83
PHQ-9 ^g sum value	0.44	0.42	0.56	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.62	0.61	0.86
PHQ-9 sum value (BCF)	0.36	0.36	0.45	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.55	0.54	0.74
GAD-7 ^h sum value	0.31	0.32	0.45	0.34	0.17	0.16	0.01	0.25	0.41
GAD-7 sum value (BCF)	0.26	0.31	0.45	0.35	0.17	0.14	0.02	0.24	0.45

TABLE 3: Overview of effect sizes of the change scores

- ^a EHP-5 = Endometriosis Health Profile 5 items
- ^b BCF = Baseline Carried Forward
- ^c EHP-30 = Endometriosis Health Profile 30 items
- ^d PDI = Pain Disability Index
- ^e FESS = Fragebogen zur Erfassung der schmerzspezifischen Selbstwirksamkeit
- ^f FSS = Fatigue Severity Scale
- ^g PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire
- ^h GAD-7 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale

Endometriosis Health Profile

Regarding the EHP-30 there were significant differences between the group with Endo-App application and the control group regarding the EHP total change score from T0 to T12 ($p_U = 0.004$). The difference between the groups was 9.15 ± 2.93 (Confidence interval (CI): 3.3 ... 15.0) in favour of the intervention group with a Cohen's d effect size of 0.67.

In the group using the Endo-App, a significant difference was found in all five EHP domains from time T0 to T12. In the control group, a significant difference was found in three of the five EHP domains from time T0 to T12. In four of the five EHP domains, there was a significant difference in the change score between the group with Endo-App application and the control group from time T0 to T12 in favour of the Endo-App group.

There were significant differences between the Endo-App group and the control group in terms of the EHP-5 change score from time T0 to T12 (p_U = 0.008). The difference between the groups was 10.04 ± 3.48 (CI: 3.1 ...

17.0) in favour of the intervention group (mean= -16.76) compared to the control group (mean= -6.72) with a Cohen's d effect size of 0.61.

The modular questionnaires "Sex" and "Work" showed a significant amelioration in the group with the Endo-App application but not in the control group. The modular segment "Doctor" did not change significantly.

Pain

There were significant differences between the group with Endo-App application and the control group regarding the change score of the FESS sum value from time T0 to T12 ($p_U = 0.029$). The difference between the groups was 3.78 ± 1.74 (CI: 0.3 ... 7.3) in favour of the intervention group.

There were significant differences between the group with Endo-App application and the control group regarding the change score of the sum value of the PDI from time T0 to T12 (p_U = 0.007). The difference between the groups was 6.27 ± 2.35 (CI: 1.6 ... 11.0) in favour of the intervention group.

Fatigue

There were significant differences between the group with Endo-App application and the control group regarding the change score of the FSS sum value from time T0 to T12 ($p_U = 0.003$). The difference between the groups was 5.57 ± 1.60 (CI: 2.3 ... 8.8) in favour of the intervention group.

Emotional Wellbeing

There were significant differences between the group with Endo-App application and the control group regarding the change score of the sum value of the PHQ-9 from time T0 to T12 ($p_U < 0.001$). The difference was 3.24 ± 0.80 (CI: 1.7 ... 4.9) in favour of the intervention group.

There were no significant differences between the group with Endo-App application and the control group regarding the change score of the sum value of the GAD-7 from time T0 to T12 ($p_U = 0.104$). The difference between the groups was 1.53 ± 0.79 (CI: 0.0 ... 3.1) in favour of the intervention group.

Pain Medication

The use of pain medication in the last 28 days was examined. For this purpose, the participants were asked on how many days they took pain medication.

There were no statistically significant differences between the group with Endo-App application and the control group at the time points T0-T8 regarding the number of days with the use of pain medication ($p_U = 0.572$).

There were significant differences at time point T12 between the group with Endo-App application and the control group in terms of number of analgesics/total ($p_U = 0.015$), in favour of the intervention group.

Sensitivity analyses

With conservative evaluation using the BOCF method, there were significant differences between the group with Endo-App application and the control group for EHP-5, EHP-30, FESS, PDI, PHQ-9 and FSS from time T0 to T12 in favour of the intervention group.

Adherence

At each time point, the patients were asked about their use of the app by means of a questionnaire. A total of 44 participants in the group with Endo-App application (44 of 45; 97.8%) confirmed the use of the Endo-App at T4. At T8 and T12, there were 33 and 29 people, respectively, who confirmed app use. One person stated at T4 that they could not use the app, at T8 and T12 there were six persons each.

Over 50% of the participants reported using the Endo-App at least four days a week for at least 5-10 minutes. At T4, 72.7% of the respondents confirmed that they used the app at least four days a week, at T8 63.6% and at T12 58.6%. None of the respondents, and one respondent at T8 and T12, stated that they had used the app for one minute or less per day.

Discussion

After the intervention period of 12 weeks, significant changes in the various study outcomes were shown in the group using the Endo-App. The quality of life of the Endo-App users improved significantly. After 12 weeks, changes in quality of life were not only significant but also clinically relevant. The mean change over

12 weeks in EHP-5 (mean= -16.76) exceeded the Minimum Clinically Important Difference (MCID = 4,5) by more than three times [18]. The significant and clinically relevant difference between the groups in terms of EHP remained even in a conservative sensitivity analysis with imputation, showing the robustness of the results.

Clinically relevant improvements within the intervention group were also shown for pain disability in everyday life, pain-specific self-efficacy, fatigue and depression [19, 20].

The improvements in pain disability and pain self-efficacy due to the Endo-App help explain the improvement of quality of life. It was shown that pain and the way of dealing with pain have a direct impact on quality of life [21, 22]. Just like pain, fatigue as a typical side effect of endometriosis is an important influence on quality of life [23]. The overall increased prevalence of mental disorders such as depression and anxiety in people with endometriosis correlates with quality of life, too [24, 25]. Depressive symptoms were significantly reduced in the intervention group. Anxiety symptoms, measured with the GAD-7, tended to be reduced during the study period. From long-term studies in the literature, it can be assumed that effects of the Endo-App continue to increase over time [26].

It should be noted that the control group also showed a significant improvement in EHP. For some other outcomes, the control group also showed a positive trend during the intervention period. On the one hand, the participation in the study itself could have led to improvements through the impulse for stronger self-management. On the other hand, as shown in studies for psychological apps, a digital placebo effect may have led to improvements in the control group [27]. Despite the improvements achieved in the control group, the results in the group comparison show a clear superiority in favour of the intervention.

There were no differences between the groups regarding the number of days with pain medication at the beginning. However, after 12 weeks, the intervention group had significantly fewer days with pain medication. These results show that the improvements in the intervention group are not due to an increase in pain medication usage. Furthermore, they suggest that the use of the Endo-App can reduce the pain medication usage in the long term. A long-term effect rather than a short-term effect can be expected, as patients with endometriosis often endure many years of severe pain. This can lead to complex neurological changes that exacerbate the pain [2]. It can be assumed that the improvements found in this study, e.g. in self-efficacy, quality of life and psychological symptoms, are achieved more quickly, leading to a long-term effect on pain, the cycle of pain and pain medication usage [26].

Overall, the bias of the study can be considered low [28]. Regarding the randomisation process the allocation sequence was random and concealed. However, the simple, unconstrained randomisation resulted in unbalanced group sizes. Investigations on the success of randomisation were performed. At baseline (T0), homogeneity between groups was demonstrated both demographically and in terms of baseline values of outcomes.

The study was conducted without blinding of study participants. However, allocation concealment during automated randomisation ensured that everyone involved was blinded except for the study participants. All care providers, e.g., treating physicians or support staff of the manufacturer, could not see the group allocation. There was a low risk for deviations from intended interventions because of the standardised digital method of the intervention.

To exclude bias due to missing outcome data, a sensitivity evaluation using the BOCF method was conducted. There was more missing data in the intervention group compared with the control group. Both the amount of missing data and the larger number of lost to follow up in the intervention group was comparable to other studies with digital health apps [29, 30]. Further analyses showed that missing data did not differ significantly with respect to demographic or clinical parameters.

The methods of measuring outcomes are considered appropriate and were the same for both groups as standardised online questionnaires. Statistical Analysis was performed by a third-party mathematician. The study was analysed in accordance with the plan, that was specified before data collection.

Finally, a limitation of this pilot study is its exploratory nature. To validate the findings, a larger confirmatory randomised trial should be conducted.

Conclusions

The care situation for endometriosis patients in Germany is insufficient. The present results show that the Endo-App could improve endometriosis care as a holistic treatment support. It was shown that the Endo-App is suitable for improving the quality of life of those affected. The Endo-App can also contribute to improving the visibility of endometriosis in the public sphere, which can have further positive effects on research and care related to the disease. As this pilot study showed the feasibility and already showed significant results, the next step is a larger confirmatory randomised trial.



Appendices

The list of abbreviations used in the article can be found in Table 4.

Abbreviation	Full Term	
BCF	Baseline carried forward	
BOCF	Baseline-Observation-Carried-Forward	
CI	Confidence interval	
EHP	Endometriosis Health Profile	
EHP-30	Endometriosis Health Profile - 30 items	
EHP-5	Endometriosis Health Profile - 5 items	
FESS	Fragebogen zur Erfassung der schmerzspezifischen Selbstwirksamkeit	
FSS	Fatigue Severity Scale	
GAD-7	Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale	
HeiQ	Health Education Impact Questionnaire	
HrQoL	Health-related quality of life	
ITT	Intention-to-treat analysis	
MCID	Minimum Clinically Important Difference	
PDI	Pain Disability Index	
PHQ-9	Patient Health Questionnaire	
PSEQ	Pain Self Efficacy Questionnaire	
P _{Chi}	p-value of Chi-Square test	
p _{exF}	p-value of Fisher's exact test	
р _U	p-value of Mann-Whitney U test	
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences	

TABLE 4: Abbreviations

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Ethics Committee of the State Chamber of Physicians of Saxony, Germany issued approval EK-BR-113/21-1. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: The Endo Health GmbH (formerly UG) received funding from the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) from the state of Saxony, Germany. Financial relationships: Nadine Rohloff declare(s) employment and stock/stock options from Endo Health GmbH. CEO, Shareholder. Sebastian D Schäfer declare(s) non-financial support from Adboard AGE, Adboard EEL, Adboard SEF, WES Ambassador, Board AGEM. Nicole R Heinze declare(s) employment from Medical School Hannover. Employment since 03/2024. Sebastian D Schäfer declare(s) Support for attending meetings and/or travel from Theramex. Nadine Rohloff declare(s) personal fees from DGE (German Nutrition Society). Reimbursement for Lectures. Teresa Götz, Sarah S Kortekamp, Nicole R Heinze, Charlotte Weber declare(s) employment from Endo Health GmbH. Sebastian D Schäfer declare(s) personal fees from Roche. Payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing or educational events. Sebastian D Schäfer declare(s) personal fees from Endo Health GmbH, Gedeon Richter GmbH, Baxter Medical GmbH, PlantTec Medical GmbH. Participation on a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board, Consulting fees. Sebastian D Schäfer declare(s) personal fees from Eisai Pharma. Consulting fees . Intellectual property info: The Endo-App is a medical device and a registered trademark. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- Diedrich K, Schultze-Mosgau A, Holzgreve W, Jonat W, Schneider K-TM, Weiss JM: Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe, 2nd edn: Springer, Berlin; 2007. 10.1007/978-3-540-32868-1
- Ball E, Khan KS: Recent advances in understanding and managing chronic pelvic pain in women with special consideration to endometriosis. F1000Res. 2020, 9:83. 10.12688/f1000research.20750.1
- Arnold B, Brinkschmidt T, Casser H-R, et al.: Multimodale Schmerztherapie f
 ür die Behandlung chronischer Schmerzsyndrome. Ein Konsensuspapier der Ad-hoc-Kommission Multimodale interdisziplin
 äre Schmerztherapie der Deutschen Schmerzgesellschaft zu den Behandlungsinhalten. Schmerz. 2014, 28:459-472. 10.1007/s00482-014-1471-x
- 4. Cooper H, Booth K, Fear S, Gill G: Chronic disease patient education: lessons from meta-analyses . Patient Educ Couns. 2001, 44:107-117. 10.1016/s0738-3991(00)00182-8
- Kristjánsdóttir OB, Fors EA, Eide E, et al.: A smartphone-based intervention with diaries and therapistfeedback to reduce catastrophizing and increase functioning in women with chronic widespread pain: randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res. 2013, 15:5. 10.2196/jmir.2249
- Scott IA, Scuffham P, Gupta D, Harch TM, Borchi J, Richards B: Going digital: a narrative overview of the effects, quality and utility of mobile apps in chronic disease self-management. Aust. Health Review. 2020, 44:62-82. 10.1071/AH18064
- Buggio L, Barbara G, Facchin F, Frattaruolo MP, Aimi G, Berlanda N: Self-management and psychologicalsexological interventions in patients with endometriosis: strategies, outcomes, and integration into clinical care. Int J Womens Health. 2017, 9:281-293. 10.2147/IJWH.S119724
- Jones G, Jenkinson C, Kennedy S: Evaluating the responsiveness of the Endometriosis Health Profile Questionnaire: the EHP-30. Qual Life Res. 2004, 13:705-713. 10.1023/B:QURE.0000021316.79349.af
- Jones G, Jenkinson C, Taylor N, Mills A, Kennedy S: Measuring quality of life in women with endometriosis: tests of data quality, score reliability, response rate and scaling assumptions of the Endometriosis Health Profile Questionnaire. Hum Reprod. 2006, 21:2686-2693. 10.1093/humrep/del231
- 10. Mangels M, Schwarz S, Sohr G, Holme M, Rief W: Der Fragebogen zur Erfassung der schmerzspezifischen Selbstwirksamkeit (FESS): Diagnostica. 2009, 55:84-93. 10.1026/0012-1924.55.2.84
- 11. Dillmann U, Nilges P, Saile H, Gerbershagen HU: Behinderungseinschätzung bei chronischen Schmerzpatienten. Schmerz. 1994, 8:100-110. 10.1007/BF02530415
- Krupp LB, LaRocca NG, Muir-Nash J, Steinberg AD: The fatigue severity scale. Application to patients with multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arch Neurol. 1989, 46:1121-1123. 10.1001/archneur.1989.00520460115022
- Valko PO, Bassetti CL, Bloch KE, Held U, Baumann CR: Validation of the fatigue severity scale in a Swiss cohort. Sleep. 2008, 31:1601-1607. 10.1093/sleep/31.11.1601
- Schuler M, Strohmayer M, Mühlig S, Schwaighofer B, Wittmann M, Faller H, Schultz K: Assessment of depression before and after inpatient rehabilitation in COPD patients: psychometric properties of the German version of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9/PHQ-2). J Affect Disord. 2018, 232:268-275. 10.1016/j.jad.2018.02.037
- 15. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Löwe B: A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006, 166:1092-1097. 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092
- Schuler M, Musekamp G, Faller H, et al.: Assessment of proximal outcomes of self-management programs: translation and psychometric evaluation of a German version of the Health Education Impact Questionnaire (hei0[™]). Oual Life Res. 2013, 22:1391-1403. 10.1007/s11136-012-0268-6
- 17. Cohen J: Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences 2nd edn.. Routledge, New York; 1988. 10.4324/9780203771587
- Aubry G, Panel P, Thiollier G, Huchon C, Fauconnier A: Measuring health-related quality of life in women with endometriosis: comparing the clinimetric properties of the Endometriosis Health Profile-5 (EHP-5) and the EuroQol-5D (EQ-5D). Hum Reprod. 2017, 32:1258-1269. 10.1093/humrep/dex057
- Rooney S, McFadyen A, Wood L, Moffat F, Paul PL: Minimally important difference of the fatigue severity scale and modified fatigue impact scale in people with multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler Relat Disord. 2019, 35:158-163. 10.1016/j.msard.2019.07.028
- Arion K, Orr NL, Noga H, Allaire C, Williams C, Bedaiwy MA, Yong PJ: A quantitative analysis of sleep quality in women with endometriosis. J Womens Health. 2020, 29:1209-1215. 10.1089/jwh.2019.8008
- McPeak AE, Allaire C, Williams C, Albert A, Lisonkova S, Yong PJ: Pain catastrophizing and pain healthrelated quality-of-life in endometriosis. Clin J Pain. 2018, 34:349-356. 10.1097/AJP.00000000000539
- Casalechi M, Vieira-Lopes M, Quessada MP, Arão TC, Reis FM: Endometriosis and related pelvic pain: association with stress, anxiety and depressive symptoms. Minerva Obstet Gynecol. 2021, 73:283-289. 10.23736/S2724-606X.21.04704-3
- Mundo-López A, Ocón-Hernández O, San-Sebastián AP, et al.: Contribution of chronic fatigue to psychosocial status and quality of life in Spanish women diagnosed with endometriosis. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020, 17:3831. 10.3390/ijerph17113831
- Wang Y, Li B, Zhou Y, et al.: Does endometriosis disturb mental health and quality of life? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2021, 86:315-335. 10.1159/000516517
- Facchin F, Buggio L, Roncella E, et al.: Sleep disturbances, fatigue and psychological health in women with endometriosis: a matched pair case-control study. Reprod Biomed Online. 2021, 43:1027-1034. 10.1016/j.rbmo.2021.08.011
- Hansen KE, Kesmodel US, Kold M, Forman A: Long-term effects of mindfulness-based psychological intervention for coping with pain in endometriosis: A six-year follow-up on a pilot study. Nordic Psychology. 2017, 69:100-109. 10.1080/19012276.2016.1181562
- 27. Torous J, Firth J: The digital placebo effect: mobile mental health meets clinical psychiatry . Lancet Psychiatry. 2016, 3:100-102. 10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00565-9



- Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, et al.: RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials . BMJ. 2019, 366:14898. 10.1136/bmj.14898
- 29. Chu A, Rose TM, Gundrum DA, McMorris TE, Klausner EA, Lang LA, Shan G: Evaluating the effects of a mindfulness mobile application on student pharmacists' stress, burnout, and mindfulness. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy. 2021, 79:656-664. 10.1093/ajhp/zxab467
- Ensari I, Lipsky-Gorman S, Horan EN, Bakken S, Elhadad N: Associations between physical exercise patterns and pain symptoms in individuals with endometriosis: a cross-sectional mHealth-based investigation. BMJ Open. 2022, 12:e059280. 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059280